Ċ	R.	
	44.3	

	Application No. Application No. 09/893,680		nt(s) ISHIWATA et al.	
Interview Summary	Examiner Brenda Cole	man	Art Unit 1624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Brenda Coleman	<u> </u>			
(2) Thomas Barnes (Reg. 52595) TWBTT	(4)			
Date of Interview Apr 22, 2003	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🛛 applicant's re	epresentativo	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, b	rief descript	ion: 	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-14				
Identification of prior art discussed: None				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) X was reached				
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:				
The new matter, 112, first and second paragraph and pro Amendments to the specification and claims were sugges	ovisional double pater sted to overcome the	nting rejection new matter	n were discusse relection as we	l as the 112s.
It was suggested that the applicants will show the non-old	bviousness of the sub	stitution of	the pyridyl rins v	vith respect to
the provisional double patenting rejection.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the e copy of the amendr	xaminer agr nents that w	eed would rende vould render the	er the claims claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep				
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FI SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Rec	ROM THIS INTERVIE	. If a reply W DATE TO	FILE A STATEM	MENT OF THE

BRENDA COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1624

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

ĺ

Examiner's signature, if required